

 #8
 1.9
1F200

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Intonious Summans	09/836,204	SHIN, SEONG CHEOL	
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lao Y Lun	2673	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Lao Y Lun</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Daniel H. Sherr</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>17 November 2003</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-22</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Kim et al and Tokunaga et al.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>applicant argues that Kim et al teach an asymmetry driving method for an LCD, not for a plasma display. The amendment for cancelling claims 15 and 20 will be entered and the 112 rejection will be removed. To await for applicant's further response.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to t GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, O FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	he last Office action has alread PR THE MAILING DATE OF TH OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	y been filed, APPLICANT IS IIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required